

Brewery Sustainability and Energy Integration

Brewing Engineers Association Technical Day Brewing Engineering & Services for Efficiency National Brewery Centre, Burton-on-Trent March 2017

John Hancock – Briggs of Burton



Briggs of Burton

Rochester, New York

Burton on Trent, UK

Shanghai, China

Part of CIMC Enric Group

- Briggs
 - Brewing
 - Distilled Spirits
 - Biofuel
 - Food
 - Pharmaceutical

- Ziemann Holvrieka
 - Brewing
 - Dairy and Juice
 - Chemicals



Briggs of Burton

Sectors

- Biofuel
- Brewing
- Distilling
- Material Handling
- Food
- Health and Beauty
- Pharmaceutical

Capabilities

- Project Management
- Process Engineering
- Automation and Control
- Electrical Engineering
- Manufacturing
- Concept / FEED Studies
- Value Engineering
- Detailed Design
- Project Implementation
- CDM + Health & Safety
- EPC / EPCM / Hybrid



Brewery Sustainability and Energy Integration

- Brewhouse Process
 - Mash Conversion & Heating
 - Mash Separation
 - Wort Pre-heating, Boiling and Energy Recovery
 - Wort Cooling optimisation
 - Heat Energy provision and balancing
- Brewery Cold Process
 - Technology review for key process steps
 - Identification of refrigeration duties & reasons to chill
 - Integration of Process & Refrigeration
- Pumps / Pipework
 - Selection & Efficiency
 - VSD operation





Brewery Process Flow

- Dry Process
 - Milling
- Hot Process
 - Brewhouse
- Cold Process
 - Fermenting & Conditioning
 - Filtration &
 Process



Beer in bottle, can, keg or cask



Raw Materials Handling Milling Technology

- Roller Mill
 - Coarse MT / LT grist
 - Lower power use (2.9 kWh/Te)
 - Flexible & controllable
- Hammer Mill
 - Fine MF grist
 - High power use (6 kWh/Te)
 - Inflexible
- Steep Conditioned Roller Mill
 - Coarse MT / LT grist
 - Inflexible
 - Inability to sample
- T-Rex Cracking Mill
 - Coarse or fine grist
 - Low power use (2.5 kWh/Te)





Continuous Milling

- Can be used with continuous or Batch BH
- Lower capacity
 - typically 50 to 60% vs batch
- Smaller space usage
- Repeated start-up & shutdown eliminated
- Continuous low energy load
- Not suitable for recipes with multiple bulk malt types



Brewhouse Process

- Two major thermal energy input points
 - Mashing
 - Wort Heating & Boiling
- Mash separation
 - Extract efficiency
 - Capacity Pinch point
- Two major thermal energy recovery opportunities
 - Wort Boiling
 - Wort Cooling





Brewery Energy Usage

Brewhouse - Major Energy Users Mashing & Wort Boiling





Mashing – Alternative Processes

60 50 40

100

90 80

70

60 50

- All Malt :
 - Infusion Mash Tun or Distillery Mash Tun
 - Combines Conversion & Separation
 - Minimal energy input Or
 - Programmed Infusion Mash Vessel
 - To feed separate Lauter Tun or Mash Filter
 - Mash in at around 65°C, lower energy input



- Decoction Mash Kettle + Mash Vessel
 - To feed separate Lauter Tun or Mash Filter
 - More energy intensive
- Malt + Adjuncts :
 - Cereal cooker & Mash Vessel
 - Low shear mixing & transfer essential
 - Energy intensive



Grist Hydration - Pre-masher



Vortex Masher

- Grist mixed into swirling, turbulent water flow
- Low shear
- Simple
 - No moving parts to maintain



Steeles Masher

- Positive flow path
- Gentle mechanical mixing
- VSD Controlled
- Effective with
 - fine grist
 - Low (thicker) mash ratio
- Improved extract recovery with fine grist



Mash Agitation - Minimising Mash Shear



AGITATOR

- Large (85% of Vessel Diameter)
- Rotation Slow Max Tip Speed 3.5 m/s
- Mounted Off Centre (5 % Diameter)
- Variable Speed
 - Higher speed for Mashing & Heating
 - Slow Speed for Mash Stands > 55 °C
- Agitator close to base to ensure swept surfaces and avoid mash burn on

Effective mixing needed to ensure homogenous mash with uniform temperature distribution.

- Low Shear Mixing is a Combined Effect of Vessel
- Shape and Agitator

VESSEL SHAPE - Low Aspect Ratio

- (Height : Diameter) 0.6 :1
- Tilted Dish
- No Internal Baffles





Mash Heating – Heat Transfer

 For Agitated Jacketed vessels, Forced Convection Heat Transfer is a function of Reynolds (Re) and Prandtl (Pr) Numbers (dimensionless) -

$$Re = \frac{\rho N D^2}{\mu}$$
$$Pr = \frac{c_p \mu}{k}$$
$$h = \left(\frac{k}{D}\right) 0.023 Re^{0.8} Pr^{0.4}$$



- Heat Transfer Coefficient (HTC) primarily dependent on turbulence / movement, in this case controlled by vessel / agitator system properties
 - <u>agitator diameter (D)</u>, agitator speed (N), and agitator type
- HTC also dependent on physical properties
 - density (ρ), viscosity (μ), specific heat capacity (c_p) and conductivity (k)
 - For mash, viscosity is critical, especially for fine mash filter grist at low temperatures



Mash Separation - Lauter Design Maximise Extract Yield

- Lauter tun Size
- Mash Distribution
- Wort Collection
- Sparge Distribution

Lautering

- Grains Discharge
- Underplate Flush

- Loading & Cycle time
- Low shear & Min O₂
- Even run-off
- Sparge Nozzles
- Knife design & speed
- Plough & Valves
- Jetting Nozzles



Lauter Tun











Brewery Lauter Tun Operation 12 Brews/Day at 160kg/m² - 12.8 m dia





Distillery Lauter Tun

- Thin Mash 4 L/kg
- Steeles Masher
- Conversion in Tun (stand)
- Large Weak Wort Volume
- -> Mash Water
- High final sparge temperature
- High Extract Recovery







Mash Filter – Meura 2001 Hybrid

- Installation in Uganda -
 - 102 hybrid chambers
 - 7 to 10.2 Te grist
 - 320 to 400 hl cold wort
 - 10 BPD initially
 - 12 BPD future



- Mash Filter Capability
 - Up to 14 BPD
 - High extract yield
 - Up to 100% adjunct
 - Minimal effluent
 - Drier spent grains
 - Limited flexibility



GREADE®

Mash Filter – Operation (Meura 2001 Hybrid)





Mash Separation - Comparison

	<u>Infusion</u> Mash Tun	<u>Distillery</u> Full Lauter Tun	<u>Brewery</u> Lauter Tun	<u>Mash Filter</u>
Throughput	Low	4 to 7 BPD	Mod. – High 8 to 12 BPD	High 12 to 14 BPD
Extract Efficiency	OK 95 to 97%	High	Good 98 to 99%	High
Capacity Flexibility	Good 30 to 100%	Good 40 to 100%	Good 40 to 100%	Poor 80 to 110%
Material Flexibility	Malt only	Malt only	Malt & up to 40% Adjuncts	Up to 100% Adjuncts
CIP	OK	OK	OK	Inefficient 4 to 8 hrs
Complexity	Simple	Complex	Complex	Complex
Cost	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High



Wort Pre-Heating & Boiling - Energy

- Pre-heat Energy Input
- $q = M \times C_P \times (T_2 T_1)$
 - M = Mass (kg)
 - C_P = specific heat (kJ/kg C)
 - T₁ & T₂ = Initial & Final Temperature (°C)
- Heat 1000 hl wort (1.06 SG) from 75 to 100 °C
 - Density = 1.06 x 97.4 kg/hl = 103.2 kg/hl
 - Mass M = 1000 hl x 103.2 kg/L = 103,200 kg
 - Specific Heat C_p kJ/kg K
 - Energy to heat 1 kg by 1 °C (or °K)
 - Water = 4.2 kJ/kg K
 - Wort = 4.0 kJ/kg K
 - = 103,200 x 4.0 x (100 75) = 10,320,000 kJ = 10,320 MJ
 = 10.3 MJ/hI

- Boiling
- Liquid to Vapour Energy Intensive
- Specific heat of Evaporation h_{fg}
 - Energy to evaporate 1 kg
 - Water h_{fg} = 2257 kJ/kg at atm pressure
- Boil Energy input
 - e.g. 5% volume off 1000 hl wort

= $M_E x h_{fg}$ M_E = Mass Water Evaporated

> M_E = 1000 hl x (5/100) x 100 kg/L = 5,000 kg

= 5,000 kg x 2257 kJ/kg = 11,285,000 kJ

=11,285 MJ

=11.3 MJ/hl



Wort Boiling – Objectives – Evaporation?

Objective	Process Factors
Volatile Removal	Evaporation & Turbulence
Isomerisation	Temperature & Time
Flocculation	Vigorous Boil (Wort/vapour interface - bubbles), Low Shear
Sterilisation & Enzyme Inactivation	Temperature & Time
Gravity / Volume	Evaporation

Evaporation itself is not the key process in Wort Boiling, Other factors are more critical.



Wort Boiling – Heat Transfer Modes





Boiling Heat Transfer - Fouling, Area & ΔT

- $Q = U \times A \times \Delta T$
 - U Heat Transfer Coefficient
 - Higher for Nucleate Boiling low $\Delta T < 40$ °C
 - Low for Film Boiling high ΔT
 - Fouling reduces U progressively
 - A Surface Area
 - Low Surface Area needs higher ΔT
 - $-\Delta T$ Temperature Difference Driving Force
 - Low ΔT needs Large Surface Area
 - Low ΔT reduces fouling less frequent CIP



Wort Boiling - Internal Wort Heater

- Traditional
 - e.g. North America
- Percolators
 - Very low Surface area
- Tubular Internal Heater
 - Low Surface Area
 - Typically 0.08 m²/hl
- Needs frequent CIP
- Fountain & Spreader
- May be pump assisted
 - Similar to External Heater



Percolator









Wort Boiling – External Wort Heater



- Flexible
 - Brewlength
 - CIP volume
- Fountain & Spreader
- Thermosyphon
 - low shear
 - Typically 0.2 m²/hl

OR

- Forced Circulation
 - Pumped
 - high shear







External Wort Heating Development



Wort Boiling – Energy Recovery

- Wort Boiling Major Energy User
- Minimise Evaporation
 - Maintain Wort Quality
 - 1% reduction in evaporation
 - saves approximately 2 to 4% of Brewhouse energy consumption (1 to 2% of total brewery energy consumption)
 - Reduces peak steam / HTHW loads
 - Reduces emissions
- Energy Recycle or Recovery
 - MVR Recycle over 90% of energy during boil
 - TVR Recycle up to 50% of energy during boil
 - Energy Store Recover energy for use elsewhere
 - Wort Pre-heating



MVR – Mechanical Vapour Compression

- Direct Recycling of Boil Energy
 - Minimal Thermal Boil Energy Requirement
- Replaced with smaller Electrical Power Input
 - Electricity Requirement 0.1 0.7 kWh/hl
- High Capital Investment
 - Long Payback Period (>3 years)
- Large rotating machine Maintenance
- Difficult to Maintain Air Free Wort Boiling
- Contaminated condensed vapour limits reuse





TVR – Thermal Vapour Compression

- Lower Capital cost than MVR
- Recycles 50% or less of boil thermal energy
 - Reduced Energy saving
 - Can be combined with Energy Store to increase recovery
 - Dual system increased complexity & cost
- Requires high pressure steam for recompression
 - typically 10 bar g or higher
- Contaminated condensed vapour limits reuse





Energy Store – Wort Pre-heating





Energy Recovery - Wort Pre-Heating

- Heating Energy = M x $C_P x (T_2 T_1)$
- <u>No Energy Recovery</u>
 - Heat 1000 hl wort (1060 SG) 75 to 100 °C
 - = 103,200 x 4.0 x (100 75) = 10,320,000 kJ
 - = 10,320 MJ
- <u>With Wort Pre-heating</u> to 92 °C
 - Heat 1000 hl wort 92 to 100 $^\circ$ C
 - = 103,200 x 4.0 x (100 92) = 3,302,400 kJ
 - = 3,302 MJ
- Energy Saving = 10,320 MJ 3,302 MJ = 7,018 MJ
 - = 68% reduction

Steam Saving = 7,017,600 kJ / 2,133 kJ/kg = 3,290 kg/brew



Energy Store, Condenser & Pre-heater



Energy Store Tank

Condenser





Pre-heater



Wort Cooling – Energy Optimisation

- Heating of Hot Brewing Water at Wort Cooling
 - Biggest single energy saver in the Brewhouse
 - Established and proven
- Seasonal water temperature variation & recipe variation
 - Variation / excess hot water volume, and / or temperature
- Single Stage Cooling with Blending of chilled and ambient water
 - System balanced / optimised
 - Closer approach temp Refrigeration energy minimised
- Multi Stage Wort Cooling
 - 1 Hot section with Energy Store Heat energy source -> Wort Pre-heating
 - 2 Wort / Ambient Brewing water -> Hot Brewing water
 - 3 Wort / Chilled water or glycol Cold Energy buffer
 - Buffering smooths peak loads
 - Alternatively direct primary refrigerant on final stage



Heat Energy Provision & Balancing





Short TAT Brewhouse

- More brews/day x Smaller Brewlength
- More frequent peaks
- Lower peak load
- Overall smoother utility loads
- Smaller physical size shorter runs
- Reduced energy loss

Brews/Day	Brewlength hl	Volume / Day hl/day
14	200	2800
10	280	2800
8	350	2800



Continuous Brewhouse

- Comparison -
 - Batch
 - 200 hl x 14 BPD
 - 350 hl x 8 BPD
 - Continuous 115 hl/h
- Small plant size 60% vs 14 BPD
- Reduced losses & energy consumption
- Smooth utility load minimal peaks
- Minimal starts / stops



Fermenting & Conditioning - Process Flow



Filtration & Process – Process Flow





Cold Process - Refrigeration duties

- Key locations requiring refrigeration & reasons to chill:
 - Yeast = Maintaining yeast viability & vitality
 - Propagation system Vessel cooling
 - Collection system Vessel cooling
 - Fermentation/Storage = Control of fermentation profile
 - Temperature control of fermentation profile Vessel/HEX
 - Rapid chill back Vessel/HEX
 - Maturation Vessel
 - Filtration & Blending = Improved filtration (preventing chill haze) & improving CO2 solubility
 - Chilled de-aerated blending water HEX
 - Pre-filter HEX
 - Bright beer Tanks = maintenance of product quality and packaging efficiency
 - Storage Vessel Cooling



Typical Cold Process Operating Temperatures

Operation	Ale	Lager	Stout
Ferment	18-22°C	10-12°C	20-24°C
Yeast Crop	10-12°C	4-6°C	N/A
Green Beer	10-12°C	1-3°C	3-4°C
Condition	N/A	-1.5°C +/- 1°C	0°C

- Wide range of operating temperatures
- Conventionally, same coolant temperature used for all
- Potential for increased efficiency through multiple coolant supply temperatures
- However adds complexity



Fermenting and Maturation – Separate Tanks

Separate fermentation and maturation vessels

- DPVs or dedicated FVs & CTs
- Jacket cooling
- Low temperature chill in-line





Fermenting and Maturation – Unitanks

Single vessel only

- Fermenting, Chilling & Maturation
- Chill in tank Jackets
- Transfer to Filter only





Fermenting – External Chilling & Dynamic Mixing

- Advantages
 - Removes limitation of jacket surface area, especially important on large vessels
 - Increased surface area and so decreased chill back time
 - Enables vessel agitation so decreased fermentation time
 - Reduced jacket area which can save costs





Fermenting - External Plug Flow Chilling

Single vessel only

- Fermenting, Chilling & Maturation
- External Chilling top to bottom plug flow



Fermenting - External Plug Flow Chilling

Single vessel only

- Fermenting, Chilling & Maturation
- External Chilling top to bottom plug flow



Beer Filtration - Technology

- Filtration options
 - DE vs membrane
 - Types of membrane system
 - Pre-Filter Centrifuge?
 - Batch
 - Continuous
- Stabilisation options
 - Single use / total loss Silica gel or PVPP
 - Conventional Regen PVPP
 - Modular / continuous PVPP



Membrane Filtration



- 470 hl/h Membrane Filter Stream
- One of 2 streams installed in 2007
- Pall Membrane technology
- Continuous system

- 400 hl/h Membrane Filter Stream
- One of 2 streams installed in 2015
- Pentair Membrane technology
- Batch system





Membrane Filtration vs DE

Filter Media	Lower cost than DE	10 – 30%
Electrical Energy Cost	Comparable to DE	
	• 0.3 –0.6 kWh	
Thermal Energy Cost	Lower than DE	60 – 75%
Water Consumption	Lower than DE	25-40%
	 Water consumption < 0.15 hl/hl beer 	
Manpower	Lower than DE	80%
Disposal Cost	Lower than DE	>95%
Service Cost	Lower than DE	30 –50%



DAW Systems - Technology

- DAW generation technology
 - $-N_2 vs CO_2$
 - Hot or cold
 - Gas stripping vs cross flow
- Choosing a DAW storage temperature
 - Blending largest user
 - Hold at max temperature possible to achieve blended beer temperature to reduce energy loss
- Do you need to DAW flush?
 - If DAW not required used chilled water (e.g. yeast flushes)



DAW Generation

- Cross flow DAW plant
- 950 hl/h capacity
- Centec Technology
- Installed 2015, UK



- CO2 Stripping DAW plant
- 300 hl/h capacity
- Alfa Laval, Aldox Technology
- Installed
 2012
 Uganda

TO DRAIN

DAW FROM AMMONIA UNIT-IDF UNION OD63,5 DAW TO AMMONIA UNIT-IDF UNION OD63,5

WATER/CIP INLET

TER/CIP INLET UNION IDF OD63,5 DAW/CIP OUTLET IDF UNION OD63,5



VD10 Al8

WD10 PU8610

LOUMN WD10 K21

WD10 HV861

DIA 600MM

Process Cooling Direct Expansion Refrigerant



- Indirect
 - Glycol -5°C in
 - NH₃ -10°C
- Direct
 - $-NH_3$ -3°C in & out
- 20% reduction in refrigeration electrical power



Heat Exchange - Close Approach

- $Q = U \times A \times \Delta T$
- Close approach = minimise ΔT
 - Higher Coolant Temp
 - Less refrigeration energy
 - Lower operational cost
- Higher UA needed
 - Greater surface area A
 - Greater capital cost





Refrigeration concept vs Process Duty Primary



Each additional circuit = loss in efficiency



Refrigeration concept vs Process Duty





Refrigeration concept vs Process Duty



COP & Refrigerant temperature

• COP = Q/P

Where:

- Q = Refrigeration energy (kWr)
- P = Power Input (kW)

The Higher The Better

Can be estimated typically:

$$C_f = \frac{T_e}{T_e - T_c}$$

Where:

 $C_{f} = Carnot \ Factor$ $T_{e} = \text{Evap Temp (K)}$ $T_{c} = Cond \ Temp (K)$ $COP = (0.5-0.7) \ C_{f}$

Primary Fridge Circuit	Evap Temp °C	COP (Est)
1	10	6.2
2	5	5.0
3	0	4.1
4	-5	3.5



Pipe Sizing

• Under sizing of process pipework can be attractive due to lower installed capital cost , but has long term energy implications

Dia mm	50	75	100	125	150
Capital Cost £ (Material & Installation)	£ 2,796	£ 3,854	£ 5,485	£ 7,533	£ 9,252
Relative Capital Cost	51%	70%	100%	137%	169%
Relative Velocity	400%	178%	100%	64%	44%
Relative Pressure Drop & Power Use	1600%	316%	100%	41%	20%

- Pressure drop is proportional to pipe velocity²
 - ¹/₂ Diameter -> 2 x Velocity -> 4 x Pressure Drop
- Pump duty is a function of pipework pressure drop (+ Static head)
 - Power proportional to flow x pressure
 - 4 x Pressure Drop = 4 x Power use (+ Static head power element)
- Undersized pipework will mean long term high pump power use



Pump Selection

- Pumps consume 10% of world electrical energy
- Power is typically 85% of a pumps total cost of ownership
- Pump Efficiency = Power Imparted on Fluid
 Power Supplied to Drive
- Pump Efficiency
 - High efficiency at duty point = Low power use
 - Low efficiency at duty point = High power use (& higher shear)
- Case Study: Pump Duty = $12m^3/hr$ at 39m head
 - Pump A: Low capital cost
 - Pump B: Higher efficiency



Pump - Capital Cost vs Efficiency



Low Capital Cost & Efficiency

This pump could achieve 50% + efficiency, but not at duty point. Low efficiency at duty, high power usage & running costs.

Higher Capital Cost & Efficiency

This pump has duty point closer to maximum efficiency. Higher efficiency & lower operating costs. In reality efficiency could be higher, typically 60 to 70%.



VSD Pump Operation

- In reality pumps often have a range of duties.
- Example filling a tank at constant flow and variable level
- Pump Affinity Laws
 - Flow proportional to (speed)
 - Head (pressure)proportional to (speed)²
 - Power is proportional to (speed)³
- Pump Speed 50%
 Power Consumption 12.5%
- Using pump affinity laws we can estimate the pump speed & power used to maintain flow as the level in the tank increases





VSD Pump Curve





VSD Pumps – Power Use

Tank Level	Pump Speed	Power Consumption
Empty	78%	14 kW
25%	84%	18 kW
50%	90%	22 kW
75%	95%	26 kW
Full	100%	30 kW

- Daily Energy Consumption
 - Fixed Speed 720 kWh
 - VSD <u>526 kWh</u>
- Energy Consumption Reduction

<u>26%</u>



Good process flow & effective space use means minimal pump & conveyor power use.

Brewery Process - Flow